1 2 3

4

5

In the Matter of

CASE CLOSURES UNDER 2003 JAN 27 A 10-09 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM**

SENSITIVE

("EPS") and identified as either low priority or potential ADR transfers. This report recommends that the Commission no longer pursue the cases cited in section II

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

)

)

).

EPS was created to identify pending cases that, due to the length of their pendency in 21 inactive status or the lower priority of the issues raised in the matters relative to others 22 presently pending before the Commission; do not warrant further expenditures of resources.

23 Central Enforcement Docket ("CED") evaluates each incoming matter using Commission-

24 approved criteria that result in a numerical rating for each case. Case Closures Under EPS General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

i		We have identified	cases	which this Office recommen	ds be
2				·	closed. ²
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
					•
9					
10					
11		•			
12					
13	İV.	RECOMMENDATION	<u>NS</u>		
14		OGC recommends that t	he Commissi	on exercise its prosecutorial di	scretion and close
15	the cases listed below effective two weeks from the date the Commission votes on the				

² The cases recommended for closure are: MUR 5255 (Roy Brown for Congress); MUR 5256R (Allied Pilots Association PAC); MUR 5271 (A Whole Lot of People for Grijalva Congressional Committee); MUR 5280 (Bundguard for Congress); MUR 5284 (Moran for Congress); MUR 5289 (Friends of the Rouge & Friends of the Detroit River); and MUR 5301 (Charlotte Reeves for US Congress).

Case Closures Under EPS General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 3

- 1 recommendations. Closing these cases as of this date will allow CED and the Legal Review
- 2 Team the necessary time to prepare closing letters and case files for the public record.
- Take no action, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission
- 4 vote, and approve the appropriate letters in:
 - 1. MUR 5255
- 2. MUR 5256R
- 3. MUR 5271

- 4. MUR 5280
- 5. MUR 5284
- 6. MUR 5289

7. MUR 5301

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

i/24/23 Date

BY:

Rhonda J. Vosdingh

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Jeff 6. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney, CED

18 19

20

8 9 10

11 12 13

		·			
1	MUR 5255				
2		·			
3	Complainant:	John C. Chambers, Esq. on behalf of Turner for Congress			
4	•				
5	Respondents:	Roy Brown for Congress			
6		Kimberly Brush-Supensky, Treasurer			
7		Roy Eldridge Brown			
8		Brown Publishing Company			
9		Englewood Independent Times			
10		The Times Weekend Edition			
11		Huber Heights Courier Times			
12		Springboro Sun Times			
13		Vandalia Drummer News			
14		Centerville-Bellbrook Times			
15		Kettering-Oakwood Times			
16		Star Republican			
17		Brown News Service			
18		• •			
19	Allegations: J	ohn Chambers, counsel for the complainant, Turner for Congress, alleged			
20	that Roy Brown, President and CEO of the Brown Publishing Company and a candidate				
21	for the 3rd Congressional District of Ohio, was using the resources of the Brown				
22	Publishing Company as an extension of his campaign operation by running articles in				
23	Brown's papers about awards he received and his choice to run for political office				
24	following his family's tradition. The complainant indicated that Brown Publishing				
25	Company, as an extension of Mr. Brown's campaign, was providing unequal coverage of				
26	the candidates in favor of Mr. Brown. Specifically, several different newspapers were				
27	listed in the complaint as printing 94% of the articles about, and to the benefit of, Mr.				
28	Brown with only 6% devoted to his opponent, Mr. Turner. The complainant noted that a				
29	competitive paper, the Dayton Daily News, printed an editorial implying that Mr. Brown				

Responses: Respondents Roy E. Brown, The Roy Brown for Congress Committee, Kim Brush-Supensky, as treasurer, and The Brown Publishing Company responded that the race in the 3rd District of Ohio was heavily watched and reported on by the Dayton Daily News equally if not more than other newspapers, including those produced by the Brown Publishing company. Mr. Brown oversees the financial and operational well being of the Company but has no day-to-day responsibility for the materials published in any of the individual newspapers within the chain.

None of the remaining respondents responded to the complaint.

was using his company and family assets to further his political career.

This matter is less significant relative to other matters pending before the Commission.